

Michael and Elana Laham  
164 Streamwood  
Irvine, CA 92620  
FAX (562) 982-5711

September 19, 2002

Michael Berkow  
Irvine Police Department  
One Civic Center Plaza  
POB 19575  
Irvine, CA 92623-9575  
FAX 949-724-7101

Dear Mr. Michael Berkow,

Once again, I am requesting a proper copy of the police crime report #02-06198 that I filed on 4/5/02. I paid the cost for one, and all I got was what I had submitted to the police department! Every police report that has been filed against me and my husband has within it the name, address, etc., of the "other party" or "suspect" and a follow up commentary by the investigator, even though according to you it is still under investigation. **Once again I am being treated unfairly by your Police Department.** A "suspect" is far more serious than an "other party"! Thusly, why is the "suspect" section of my above crime report #02-06198 blank when the "other party" section of all the police reports that have been filed against my husband and I have our full name and address in them, even though according to you they are still under investigation when we received our copies?

On 4/5/02 I was told by the front desk to come back in a couple of weeks to get a copy of above crime report #02-06198 because the investigator was going to review it. When I came back two weeks later, I was given the same police crime report #02-06198 that I had submitted two weeks earlier, with only my statement within it! The investigator had not reviewed it. **Even if the investigator after all this time from 4/5/02 to 9/19/02 has not reviewed the above crime report #02-06198,** there should at least be listed the full name and address of the suspect, whom I reported was my neighbor Shawn at address 162 Streamwood, within the above crime report #02-06198 and any additional information that is supposed to be there, whether or not an investigator has reviewed it.

I want a proper copy of the above crime report #02-06198 that lists the full name, address, etc. of whom I declared as suspect, which was my neighbor Shawn at address 162 Streamwood, and any additional information that is supposed to be there whether or not an investigator has reviewed it. If you are not willing to give it to me, then you need to legally explain why I am not entitled to it, and you need to refund my money that I spent in order to obtain a copy of above police crime report #02-06198 that I filed. Then you need to correct your front desk staff who told me that I was entitled to the above police crime report #02-06198 because I filed it.

If I do not hear a response from you within five business days of this letter, then you will be communicating to me that you do not intend to give me a proper copy of police crime report #02-06198 because you are biased against me.

If you do intend to give me a proper copy of police crime report #02-06198 then I request you send it by FAX to the above FAX number of (562) 982-5711.

**Because of the unrelenting harassment that we have received by your police department against us for no reason, rest assured that we are now unrelentingly pursuing the media. You had better hope that no one will take our story, because if anyone does you are going to look very, very bad.**

Everything I state in this letter is my own opinion.

Most Sincerely Yours

Elana Laham

\*\* COMMUNICATIONS REPORT \*\*

AS OF SEP 20 '02 9:32 PAGE.01

C17 SE GROUP BLD 41A

TOTAL PAGES

TOTAL TIME

SEND : 0007

RECEIVE : 0005

SEND : 00'03"49

RECEIVE : 00'03"16

	DATE	TIME	TO/FROM	MODE	MIN/SEC	PGS	CMD#	STATUS
01	9/18	08:25	815626230997	----S	00"00	00	59	BUSY
02		09:40	5626230997	EC--S	01"03	02	60	OK
03		09:44	5626230997	EC--S	01"02	02	61	OK
04		10:27	7189379222	EC--R	00"42	01		OK
05		12:13	963 2553	EC--R	00"31	01		OK
06		12:58	818 7041486	EC--S	00"29	01	62	OK
07	9/19	10:38	5626230997 5	G3--R	02"03	03		OK
08	9/20	06:25	819497247101	----S	00"00	00	63	BUSY
09		08:11	949 724 7114	EC--S	00"37	01	64	OK
10		09:31	949 724 7114	EC--S	00"38	01	65	OK

# IRVINE POLICE DEPARTMENT

IRVINE POLICE DEPARTMENT • ONE CIVIC CENTER PLAZA  
P.O. BOX 19575, IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92623 - 9575 • (949) 724-7000  
<http://www.irvinepd.org>



MICHAEL BERKOW  
CHIEF OF POLICE

SEPTEMBER 25, 2002

MR. & MRS. MICHAEL LATHAM  
164 STREAMWOOD  
IRVINE, CA 92620

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Latham:

Per your request for a full report of D.R #02-06198, I hereby advise you that you received all the information that is releasable to you as the victim of this crime when you purchased your two copies in April. All other documents related to this case are considered "investigatory" and are exempt from disclosure per Government Code section 6254 (f). Police investigatory reports are exempt from disclosure and their exemption from disclosure extends indefinitely.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Sam Allevato", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

SAM ALLEVATO, LIEUTENANT  
Criminal Investigations Division

Michael S. and Elana Laham  
164 Streamwood  
Irvine, CA 92620-1965  
FAX (562) 982-5711

September 27, 2002

Page 1 of 2

Sam Allevato, Lt.  
Criminal Investigations Division  
Irvine Police Department  
POB 19575  
Irvine, CA 92623  
FAX 949-724-7191/-7101

Dear Sam Allevato,

What happened to Michael Berkow whom I addressed this to?!?

I read Government Code Section 6254 (f) and it states, "Records of complaints to...any state or local police agency...shall disclose the names and addresses of persons involved in...the incident...the date time and location of the incident...statements of the parties involved in the incident...and any person suffering property loss...as the result of the incident caused by...vandalism. However, nothing in this division shall require the disclosure of that portion of those investigative files that reflect the analysis or conclusions of the investigating officer." Who do you think you are fooling? I have a right to what I asked for. The only thing I do not have a right to have released to me are the investigative files that reflect analysis or conclusions of the case. So you are wrong! Apparently, you are trying to throw intimidating law codes in my face that you think I cannot read or understand!

Jesus Christ!! You expect me to believe that you collect a \$15.00 fee from me to get a copy of the same report that I wrote word for word! If what you say is true, then why didn't your front desk staff tell me I can't purchase a report at all for any amount of money. Then, I would go to Kinkos and spend under a \$1.00 for my own report that I wrote! So you can either refund me my \$15.00 that you stole, or you can steal my money and buy yourself a bunch of brown doughnuts and twirl them around your fingers and suck on them!!!

And you only gave me ONE copy of the report that I wrote DR # 02-06198, not TWO. You eat too many doughnuts over there, which turns you into a doughnut-packing monkey that has no brains.

As I stated in my FAX dated 9/25/02, every report we ever looked at that listed us as "the other party" put our full name, address, and belly button number on it. So why in Christ's name, when I list a "suspect" that is much worse than "the other party" on my report DR #02-06198, you claim that the suspect's name address, etc., is not releasable information to me? But when the shoe was on the other foot and you all accused us of doing criminal activity that we did **not** do, you listed our full name, rank, and serial number on all the reports and then you released them to us.

If one wants to eat a doughnut, does one have to have a forked tongue like you to do it? Apparently you are trying to hide the fact that **no one in your police department ever investigated my above report**. As I already know, you all **refused even to go talk to the violent perpetrator** whom I listed in my above report as the suspect. How much time did you take away from the suspect's parole so that he would attempt to beat me up, or, who knows, kill me for no good reason? So thank you for blatantly by your own hand admitting fully that you are biased against us. We can use that for the media.

September 27, 2002

Page 2 of 2

Sam Allevato, Lt.  
Criminal Investigations Division, Irvine Police Department

You need to respond to this letter in writing via FAX to our FAX number of (562) 982-5711 within five business days of this letter; that is by close of business (COB) of Friday, October 4, 2002. In your written response, you need to communicate either (1) that you will refund my \$15.00 that I spent for a copy of DR #02-06198, or (2) that you will send me by FAX the suspect's name, address, phone number, and all other information to which I am entitled by the same law you try to throw in my face, and (3) you will not throw any more nonsense in my face. If I do not receive a written response from you within five business days of this letter, you will be communicating to me that the Irvine Police Department lies to the community about what a citizen is entitled to from a police report and steals money under the guise of pretending to provide police reports.

While we are on this subject, I also request a copy of Irvine Police Report #DR 00-7755, which my husband and I requested some time ago and your department refused to provide. Per the same Government Code Section 6254(f) which you love to quote, I am entitled to the names and addresses of persons involved in that incident, the date, time and location of that incident, and statements of the parties involved in that incident. The only part of Irvine Police Report #DR 00-7755 to which I do not have a right to have released to me are the investigative files that reflect analysis or conclusions of that case.

God bless America for Freedom of Speech, for which I am sure you would love to arrest me, because you can't handle the truth. Everything I said in this FAX for my own protection is my own opinion.

Sincerely,

Elana Laham

C17 SE GROUP BLD 41A

TOTAL PAGES

TOTAL TIME

SEND : 0031  
RECEIVE : 0003SEND : 00'13"48  
RECEIVE : 00'02"17

	DATE	TIME	TO/FROM	MODE	MIN/SEC	PGS	CMD#	STATUS
01	9/23	13:59	98098979	EC--S	00"30	01	92	OK
02		14:16		----R	00"38	00		INC
03		16:13		EC--R	00"29	01		OK
04	9/24	07:16	714 663 9255	EC--S	00"23	01	93	OK
05		10:23	562 982 7462	EC--R	00"40	01		OK
06		10:45	562 982 7462	EC--S	00"38	01	94	OK
07		10:47	562 982 7462	EC--S	00"45	02	95	OK
08		13:07	562 593 0333	EC--S	00"55	02	96	OK
09	9/25	16:44	9497247191	EC--R	00"30	01		OK
10	9/27	11:27	KEystone PACIFIC	G3--S	01"00	02	97	OK
11		11:29	KEystone PACIFIC	G3--S	01"00	02	98	OK
12		11:32	310 234 5699	EC--S	00"48	02	99	OK
13		11:35	310 234 5699	EC--S	00"48	02	01	OK
14		11:36	5626230997	EC--S	01"03	02	02	OK
15		11:38	5626230997	EC--S	01"02	02	03	OK
16		11:41	949 733 0028	EC--S	00"47	02	04	OK
17		11:47	949 733 0028	EC--S	00"48	02	05	OK
18		11:50	9497247191	EC--S	00"50	02	06	OK
19		11:52	9497247191	EC--S	00"50	02	07	OK
20		11:54	949 724 7114	EC--S	00"50	02	08	OK
21		11:56	949 724 7114	EC--S	00"51	02	09	OK

Michael and Elana Laham  
Fax 562-982-5711

Page 1 of 3

October 18, 2002

Sam Allevatho  
Criminal Investigation Division  
Irvine Police Department  
POB 19575  
Irvine, CA 92623  
Fax 949-724-7191

Dear Sam Allevatho is it?

I gave you five business days to respond to my FAX to you dated 9/27/02. Neither you nor any one else in the Irvine Police Department ever responded to my FAX to you dated 9/27/02, which indicates to me that the Irvine Police Department is biased against me. It has now been three weeks since I sent to you that FAX dated 9/27/02. I have not heard from you or anyone else in the Irvine Police Department regarding that FAX that I sent to you dated 9/27/02, which confirms my assertion that the Irvine Police Department is biased against me. I am giving you one more opportunity to prove that I am wrong in my assertion that the Irvine Police Department is biased against me. I am now giving the Irvine Police Department until 5:00 p.m. on 10/21/02, to respond to this FAX dated 10/18/02. If I do not get a response to my FAX dated 10/18/02 by 5:00 p.m. on 10/21/02 from the Irvine Police Department about my complaint that the Irvine Police Department did not give me a PROPER COPY of DR #02-06198, even though I want one and by law am entitled to one, then it will be confirmed without a doubt that you are biased against me. I will not extend the time for you to respond to my above complaint any more.

You need to give me a **PROPER COPY** of crime report DR#02-06198 that I filed as a victim of and because I paid the \$15.00 for it and am legally entitled to it under Government Code Section 6254 (f), which you misquoted. It reads "Records of complaints to...any state or local police agency...shall disclose the names and addresses of persons involved in...the incident...the date time and location of the incident...statements of the parties involved in the incident...and any person suffering from property loss...as the result of the incident caused by...vandalism." The only thing I am not entitled to receive is "The disclosure of that portion of those investigative files that reflect the analysis or conclusion of the investigating officer." In addition, every crime report that was ever filed against my husband and/or myself that we were falsely accused of committing a crime has our full name, rank and serial number in the "Suspect" column. Thusly, why does the crime report DR#02-06198 that I filed not have this information about the "suspect" along with all the other information the Government Code Section 6254 (f) above says I am entitled to have in it? You wrote in your FAX to me dated 9/25/02 that I asked you for a FULL REPORT. Those were your TWISTED words. I never said that. I requested and am still requesting a PROPER REPORT.

**I had stated in my FAX to you dated 9/27/02 that if you were not going to give me a PROPER COPY of DR #02-06198 then I wanted a refund of the \$15.00 I paid for it. I've changed my mind and no longer am willing to accept a refund of \$15.00 because I am entitled to a PROPER COPY OF DR #02-06198 and that is what I want and paid my \$15.00 for.**

If you do not give me a proper copy of DR #02-06198 then you are communicating to whomever wishes to read this FAX that **the Irvine Police Department lies** about what U.S. tax paying citizens are entitled by law to have, **and steals** U.S. citizen's money.

Are you gun slinging police officers afraid of me because you are afraid of the truth that you refuse to give me a PROPER COPY of DR #02-06198 that I am lawfully entitled to because it will reveal the following:

1) The perpetrator who committed the crime against me is an ex-convict hired by the Irvine Police Department to force my husband and myself out of our own home that we owned for 17 years in exchange for time off parole?

2) No police officer ever once spoke to the perpetrator of the crime committed against me even though I repeatedly requested it and even though it is the duty of every peace officer to speak to a perpetrator of a crime whenever a crime takes place? There were ~~several~~ crimes here one of vandalism to our home, and one of threat to vandalize our car. *Also an attempt to steal our property, and threats to my physical person.*

3) No investigation or follow-up investigation was ever made by any one in the Irvine Police Department on crime report DR#02-06198?

**IF I AM WRONG ABOUT THE ABOVE AND I AM JUST SOME CRAZY BABBLING WOMAN THEN WHAT ARE YOU AFRAID OF THAT YOU REFUSE TO GIVE ME A PROPER COPY OF CRIME REPORT DR #02-06198 WHICH I THE VICTIM FILED AND BY THE VERY LAW THAT YOU MISQUOTED AM ENTITLED TO? ARE YOU COVERING UP SOMETHING????!!**

Ever since I began exercising my 1st amendment rights to the Irvine Police Department that I felt I was being treated in an unfair manner the Irvine Police Department has responded to my concerns with repeated denials and continual harassment of my person. They have harassed my person in the form of trying to get my husband or myself into some kind of legal trouble by attempting to plant evidence against us even though we are law abiding citizens with a clean record who have never been in any legal trouble. In every letter I sent the Police Department it reveals the detailed individual incidents in which I base this above claim that have been on going for quite some time now.

In response to this FAX to you are you now going to give me the perpetrator of crime report DR#02-06198 Shawn's phone number? Or, his family's or friends' phone numbers? Or, any of the neighbors phone numbers whom we never knew who enjoy being a part of a conspiracy to bully innocent people? Are you going to do this as a plan of the Irvine Police Department to frame my husband and/or myself as making harassing calls via planting false evidence against us to any one or all of these above people? Will this be your response because I am requesting Shawn's FULL and REAL NAME, along with all the other information I am lawfully entitled to in crime report DR#02-06198 in order to verify my hunches that he is an ex-convict with five counts of burglary?

If you are going to plant phony phone call evidence against us, let me inform you that we no longer have a residential phone number because of our falsely being accused by your police department of harassing a Melinda Sidor, another neighbor we did not know. Our case was dismissed because of no evidence. We know that the so-called phone taps were phony so we no longer own a home phone in the fear that you will attempt this again. My husband does however have a private business office phone, which we cannot disconnect because it is against the policy of the company that he works for. So if you are now going to plant false evidence in the way of phony calls from us to Shawn or whomever then you will have to have a HACKER do it via my husband's business phone which of course the police department has no problem whatsoever in getting. And then of course what is stopping you from planting evidence against my husband at his work desk? You certainly have access to where he works and being that you are the Almighty God police officer can easily get access into his work place. You can even have Shawn murder my husband at his work site or both of us at our home and then trump up some outrageous lie that we were attacking Shawn and Shawn just had to kill him or us in self-defense. After all, the door to my home was the only thing standing between Shawn really hurting or murdering me because your Irvine Police Department certainly was unwilling to do anything to protect and serve my innocence. And then there is our car that you can conveniently plant evidence in by simply stopping us on the street for no reason. Of course you can try to plant evidence against us again in our home, but I never have and certainly won't invite a police officer into my home, especially after my experience with an Irvine police officer who tried to plant evidence by inviting himself into my home. I called the Irvine Police regarding a neighbor who was verbally harassing me. This officer responded to my call by coming to my home with his siren on,



which was inappropriate for the nature of my call. His real motive for turning his siren on was to make it look like he was out to catch me as some sort of criminal. Later he verbally expressed to me what a liar he thought I was about that neighbor complaint that I had made to him.

People might ask, "Why would the Irvine Police Department try to get my husband and/or myself into legal trouble by framing us with planted false evidence against us just because we exercise the 1<sup>st</sup> amendment by complaining that we are being treated unfairly by the Irvine Police Department?" But then, all they have to do is recall the even recent news stories on television of people who get physically beat up by police officers after they are already apprehended and in handcuffs because they dare express their human freedom of speech rights to a police officer. God Bless America-the land of the free and the home of the brave-for freedom of speech.

So Sam Allevatho who is it going to be next to talk to me because none of your Police Department can handle me because they can't stand up to the truth? You probably have never heard of Dr. Martin Luther King or Joan of Arc, have you? I wonder if it was a person like the police officers I have met so far in the Irvine Police Department who assassinated them. All I want is my entitled proper copy of the DR#02-06198 crime report I filed and paid for. It's not rocket science you know. Everything I have stated in this FAX for my own protection is my own opinion.

Yours truly, Elana Laham

C17 SE GROUP BLD 41A

TOTAL PAGES

TOTAL TIME

SEND : 0019  
RECEIVE : 0041

SEND : 00'09"27  
RECEIVE : 00'16"01

	DATE	TIME	TO/FROM	MODE	MIN/SEC	PGS	CMD#	STATUS
01	10/ 1	14:14	9497247191	EC--R	00"25	01		OK
02	10/ 2	14:57		----R	00"37	00		INC
03	10/ 3	01:54	Private	G3--R	01"10	01		OK
04		20:55	949 6542622	EC--R	00"55	02		OK
05	10/ 6	21:52	949 6542622	EC--S	00"25	01	20	OK
06		21:53	949 6542622	EC--S	00"24	01	21	OK
07	10/ 7	09:35	818 7041486	EC--S	00"26	01	22	OK
08		09:36		----R	00"38	00		INC
09		09:37		EC--R	00"36	02		OK
10	10/ 8	07:56		EC--R	00"53	02		OK
11		15:36	909 985 6968	EC--R	00"49	01		OK
12		16:01	253 983 4430	EC--R	00"40	01		OK
13		20:20	949 6542622	EC--R	00"28	01		OK
14	10/ 9	10:27	562 982 7462	EC--R	01"21	13		OK
15		10:51	562 982 7462	EC--R	04"33	13	23	INC
16		13:28	949 6542622	EC--R	00"40	01		OK
17		13:29		EC--R	00"39	01		OK
18	10/10	06:01	949 6542622	EC--S	00"41	01	24	OK
19		06:03	949 6542622	EC--S	00"41	01	25	OK
20		06:05	949 6542622	EC--S	00"24	01	26	OK
21		06:06	949 6542622	EC--S	00"23	01	27	OK
22		14:25	562 982 7462	EC--S	00"47	01	28	OK
23	10/15	08:33	909 655 4338	EC--R	00"41	01		OK
24		08:46	909 655 4338	EC--S	00"35	01	29	OK
25	10/16	15:54	714 375 8258	EC--S	00"54	01	30	OK
26	10/17	10:38	714 847 0340	EC--S	00"28	01	31	OK
27		11:58	ATD CUSTOMER SUPPORT	EC--S	00"35	02	32	OK
28		14:41	8667633442	G3--R	00"56	01		OK
29	10/18	06:04	9497247191	EC--S	01"22	03	33	OK
30		06:07	9497247191	EC--S	01"22	03	34	OK