

From: Internet Mail Delivery <postmaster@lms00001.str0.leo.cjis>

To: mlandel2012 <mlandel2012@aol.com>

Subject: Delivery Notification: Delivery has failed

Date: Fri, Mar 11, 2016 12:47 am

Attachments: Attachment (243), 04A Fingerprints E.pdf (9234K)

This report relates to a message you sent with the following header fields:

Message-id: <15362b59c4f-4711-2532@webprd-m25.mail.aol.com>

Date: Thu, 10 Mar 2016 17:46:23 -0500

From: Michael Laham <mlandel2012@aol.com>

To: liaison@leo.gov

Subject:

Your message cannot be delivered to the following recipients:

Recipient address: liaison@leo.gov

Reason: Over quota

Attached Message

From Michael Laham <mlandel2012@aol.com>

To liaison@leo.gov

Subject

Date Thu, 10 Mar 2016 17:46:23 -0500

The following e-mail is being sent three times in order to send the following four attachments 04A, 04B, 11A, and 11B, which are too big to be sent in one e-mail:

Please verify that you have received this e-mail with all of its attachments by sending an e-mail back to us that you have. Thank you.

To Cheryl, Supervisor, please forward the following e-mail, including all of its attachments, to Greg, Senior Level Manager of the FBI CJIS Division:

On 3/4/16, after four months of waiting for our criminal reports, and \$36.00 for application processing fees plus \$10.00 for registered mail fees, the FBI delivered to our post office box our request for criminal reports. Elana Laham's criminal record was absent. Instead, there was a notice stating that Elana Laham's fingerprints were of too poor quality to be used. Michael Laham's criminal record was present.

See attachments 11A and 11B to this e-mail verifying the FBI's reply to our request for our criminal reports.

On 2/16/16 we spoke to a Lori regarding the fingerprints we sent to the FBI office to get our criminal reports. She said the fingerprints for Michael Laham were fine but the fingerprints for Elana Laham were not. She said they were "poor quality" and she refused to explain what that means.

On 2/16/16 we spoke to a Cynthia M who said there were "insufficient ridge detail" on the prints for boxes #9 and #10 for Elana Laham. When we told her we did not know what she meant by "insufficient ridge detail", she told us to call her supervisor whose name was Michael B.

On 2/16/16 we spoke to Michael B who identified himself as the supervisor of customer service. We told him what had transpired in our conversations with Lori and Cynthia M.

We informed him that we have colored scanned copies of the fingerprints we sent to the FBI. And they show that **Elana Laham's fingerprints are crisper, clearer, and darker, than Michael Laham's fingerprints. In other words, Michael**

Laham's fingerprints are less readable than Elana Laham's fingerprints. **So, Elana Laham's fingerprints ought to be more acceptable than Michael Laham's fingerprints for a criminal report.** Yet Michael Laham's fingerprints were deemed acceptable for a criminal report, but Elana Laham's were not.

See attachments 04A and 04B to this e-mail verifying that Elana Laham's fingerprints are more readable than Michael Laham's fingerprints.

Michael B. agreed that Elana Laham's fingerprints were of better quality than Michael Laham's fingerprints.

Next, Michael B. told us that Michael Laham had previous fingerprints taken with the Office of Personal Management (the Department of Insurance with the state of California as part of his application for license to sell life insurance). And explained that the FBI was able to use those fingerprints, which were taken years ago, instead of Michael Laham's current fingerprints to verify that my Michael Laham is who he says he is, which is why Michael Laham was able to receive his criminal report.

Next, Elana Laham volunteered that she had fingerprints taken in the state of New York at the Bedford Hills Correctional Facility when she worked in that prison as a mail censor years ago and Elana Laham had fingerprints taken in the state of California when she was hired as a teacher's aid for the LA Unified School District years ago. Michael B. told us he was not able to access Elana Laham's fingerprints, as they were not taken with the Office of Personal Management and so are owned by the state not the federal government.

Being that Michael Laham's fingerprints are of poorer quality than Elana Laham's fingerprints, it makes NO sense that the FBI can use them to look at a previous set of fingerprints that Michael Laham had taken years ago to verify that Michael Laham is MICHAEL LAHAM in order to grant Michael Laham his criminal report!!!

And even if the FBI were allowed to do such a thing, the FBI ought to be able to retrieve fingerprints at local, state, or government levels for Elana Laham as well being that they are the FBI for God sakes!!!

Is my wife, Elana Laham, on the FBI's hit list??? How on Earth is Elana Laham a threat to the USA!!! Elana Laham has never ever committed any crime!!! How much bribe money did Michael B. get paid to deprive Elana Laham of her own criminal report, which she is entitled to have as an American citizen?!?!?!?

Unless you have a SENSIBLE explanation as to why Elana Laham is being denied her United States citizen's rights to obtain her own criminal report, we demand that you send Elana Laham's criminal report to her just like you sent Michael Laham's criminal report to him.

Looking forward to your reply.

Most Sincerely Yours,

Michael Laham and Elana Laham